

ЧЕБЫШЕВСКИЙ СБОРНИК

Том 17 Выпуск 3

УДК 519.14

МОДИФИКАЦИЯ ТЕОРЕМЫ МИШУ

А. Лауринчикас, (г. Вильнюс, Литва), Л. Мешка (г. Вильнюс, Литва)

Аннотация

В 2007 г. Г. Мишу доказал совместную теорему универсальности для дзета-функции Римана $\zeta(s)$ и дзета-функции Гурвица $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ с трансцендентным параметром α об одновременном приближении пары функций из широкого класса аналитических функций сдвигами $(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha))$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Он получил, что множество таких сдвигов, приближающих данную пару аналитических функций, имеет положительную нижнюю плотность. В статье получено, что множество таких сдвигов имеет положительную плотность для всех $\varepsilon > 0$, за исключением счетного множества значений ε , где ε – точность приближения.

Результаты аналогичного типа также получены для сложных функций $F(\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$ для некоторых классов операторов F в пространстве аналитических функций.

Ключевые слова: дзета-функция Гурвица, дзета-функция Римана, пространство аналитических функций, универсальность.

Библиография: 21 названий.

MODIFICATION OF THE MISHOU THEOREM

A. Laurinćikas, (Vilnius, Lithuania), L. Meška (Vilnius, Lithuania)

Abstract

The Mishou theorem asserts that a pair of analytic functions from a wide class can be approximated by shifts of the Riemann zeta and Hurwitz zeta-functions $(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha))$ with transcendental α , $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and that the set of such τ has a positive lower density. In the paper, we prove that the above set has a positive density for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$, where ε is the accuracy of approximation. We also obtain similar results for composite functions $F(\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$ for some classes of operator F .

Keywords: Hurwitz zeta-function, Riemann zeta-function, space of analytic functions, universality.

Bibliography: 21 titles.

1. Introduction

Let $\zeta(s)$, $s = \sigma + it$, be the Riemann zeta-function. In 1975, S. M. Voronin discovered [21] the universality property of $\zeta(s)$ which means that a wide class of non-vanishing analytic functions can be approximated by shifts $\zeta(s + i\tau)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. The non-vanishing of approximated functions is connected to the existence of Euler's product over primes for $\zeta(s)$.

Now let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ be a fixed parameter, and $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ denotes the Hurwitz zeta-function which is defined, for $\alpha > 1$, by the series

$$\zeta(s, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + \alpha)^s},$$

and can be meromorphically continued to the whole complex plane. Clearly, $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$, and

$$\zeta\left(s, \frac{1}{2}\right) = (2^s - 1)\zeta(s).$$

For other values of the parameter α , the function $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ has no Euler product. It is well known that the Hurwitz zeta-function with transcendental or rational $\neq 1, \frac{1}{2}$ parameter α is also universal in the above sense, however, its shifts $\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)$ approximate not necessarily non-vanishing analytic functions. The universality of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with algebraic irrational α is an open problem.

Some other zeta-functions are also universal in the Voronin sense. The universality for zeta-functions of certain cusp forms was obtained in [12], for periodic zeta-functions was studied in [20] and [15], while the works [2], [4] and [5] are devoted to periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions. Universality theorems for Lerch zeta-functions can be found in [11]. A very good survey on universality of zeta-functions is given in [16].

In [19], H. Mishou began to study the so-called mixed joint universality. In this case, a collection of analytic functions are simultaneously approximated by shifts of a collection of zeta-functions consisting from functions having the Euler product and having no such a product. H. Mishou considered the pair $(\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$ with transcendental α . For the statement of the Mishou theorem, we need some notation. Let $D = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1\}$. Denote by \mathcal{K} the class of compact subsets of the strip D with connected complements. Moreover, let $H(K)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}$, be the class of continuous functions on K which are analytic in the interior of K , and let $H_0(K)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}$, be the subclass of $H(K)$ consisting from non-vanishing functions on K . Denote by $\text{meas}A$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then H. Mishou proved [19] the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that α is transcendental number. Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, and $f_1(s) \in H_0(K_1)$, $f_2(s) \in H(K_2)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \right. \\ \left. \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

Mixed joint universality theorems are also proved in [3], [7] and [10].

Our aim is to replace "lim inf" in Theorem 1 by "lim". In the case of the function $\zeta(s)$, this was done in [13] and [18], and, in the case of $\zeta(s, \alpha)$, a similar theorem was obtained in [14]. Let \mathbb{P} be the set of all prime numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and

$$L(\alpha, \mathbb{P}) = \{(\log(m + \alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0), (\log p : p \in \mathbb{P})\}.$$

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Let $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, and $f_1(s) \in H_0(K_1)$, $f_2(s) \in H(K_2)$. Then the limit*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0$$

exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.

For example, if α is transcendental, then the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Let $H(G)$ be the space of analytic functions on G equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compacta. In [9], universality theorems were proved for the functions $F(\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$ with some operators $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$. Let

$$S = \{g \in H(D) : g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}.$$

Then, for example in [9], the following assertion was obtained.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that α is transcendental, and that $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ is a continuous operator such that, for every open set $G \subset H(D)$, the set $(F^{-1}G) \cap (S \times H(D))$ is non-empty. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f(s) \in H(D)$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K} |F(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0.$$

More general results are obtained in [10].

Clearly, the transcendence of α in Theorem 3 can be replaced by a linear independence over \mathbb{Q} of the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$. Therefore, we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and that F , K and $f(s)$ are the same as in Theorem 3. Then the limit*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K} |F(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} > 0 \quad (1)$$

exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now, let V be an arbitrary positive number, $D_V = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1, |t| < V\}$ and

$$S_V = \{g \in H(D_V) : g(s) \neq 0 \text{ or } g(s) \equiv 0\}.$$

For brevity, we use the notation $H^2(D_V, D) = H(D_V) \times H(D)$.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and that K and $f(s)$ are the same as in Theorem 3, and $V > 0$ is such that $K \subset D_V$. Let $F : H^2(D_V, D) \rightarrow H(D_V)$ be a continuous operator such that, for each polynomial $p = p(s)$, the set $(F^{-1}\{p\}) \cap (S_V \times H(D_V))$ is non-empty. Then the limit (1) exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.*

For example, Theorem 5 implies the modified universality of the functions

$$c_1 \zeta(s) + c_2 \zeta(s, \alpha) \text{ and } c_1 \zeta'(s) + c_2 \zeta'(s, \alpha) \quad \text{with } c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let a_1, \dots, a_r be arbitrary distinct complex numbers, and

$$H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D) = \{g \in H(D) : (g(s) - a_j)^{-1} \in H(D), j = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ is a continuous operator such that $F(S \times H(D)) \supset H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$. When $r = 1$, let $K \in \mathcal{K}$, and $f(s) \in H(K)$ and $f(s) \neq a_1$ on K . Then the limit (1) exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. If $r \geq 2$, $K \subset D$ is an arbitrary compact subset, and $f(s) \in H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$, then the limit (1) exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.*

The case $r = 1$ with $a_1 = 0$ shows that, for $F(g_1(s), g_2(s)) = e^{g_1(s) + g_2(s)}$, the limit (1) exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. If $r = 2$ and $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = -1$, then, for example, for $F(g_1(s), g_2(s)) = \cos(g_1(s) + g_2(s))$ and $f(s) \in H_{1, -1}(D)$, the limit (1) exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ is a continuous operator, $K \subset D$ is a compact subset, and $f(s) \in F(S \times H(D))$. Then the limit (1) exists for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$.*

2. Lemmas

In this section, we present probabilistic theorems on the weak convergence of probability measures in the space of analytic functions.

Let $\gamma = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : |s| = 1\}$, and

$$\Omega_1 = \prod_p \gamma_p \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_m,$$

where $\gamma_p = \gamma$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and $\gamma_m = \gamma$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the Tikhonov theorem, the tori Ω_1 and Ω_2 with the product topology and operation of pointwise multiplication are compact topological Abelian groups. Similarly, $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ is also a compact topological Abelian group. Therefore, denoting by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the Borel σ -field of the space X , we have that, on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$, the probability Haar measure m_H can be defined, and we obtain the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$. Denote by $\omega_1(p)$ and $\omega_2(m)$ the projections of $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ to the coordinate spaces γ_p , $p \in \mathbb{P}$, and γ_m , $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, respectively, and, on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega), m_H)$, define the $H^2(D)$ -valued random element $\underline{\zeta}(s, \omega)$, $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega$, by the formula

$$\underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha, \omega) = (\zeta(s, \omega_1), \zeta(s, \alpha, \omega_2)),$$

where

$$\zeta(s, \omega_1) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\omega_1(p)}{p^s}\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$\zeta(s, \alpha, \omega_2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_2(m)}{(m + \alpha)^s}.$$

Moreover, let

$$P_{\underline{\zeta}}(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)),$$

i.e., $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$ is the distribution of the random element $\underline{\zeta}(s, \omega)$. We set $\underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha) = (\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$, and

$$P_T(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)).$$

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then P converges weakly to $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. The lemma for transcendental α is proved in [19], Theorem 1, however, the transcendence of α is used only for the linear independence of the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$. □

Let X_1 and X_2 be two metric spaces, and let the function $u : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be $(\mathcal{B}(X_1), \mathcal{B}(X_2))$ -measurable. Then every probability measure P on $(X_1, \mathcal{B}(X_1))$ induces on $(X_2, \mathcal{B}(X_2))$ the unique probability measure $Pu^{-1}(A)$ given by the formula

$$Pu^{-1} = P(u^{-1}A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X_2).$$

It is well known that if u is a continuous function, then it is $(\mathcal{B}(X_1), \mathcal{B}(X_2))$ -measurable.

In the sequel, the following property of weakly convergent probability measures will be very useful.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and P are probability measures on $(X_1, \mathcal{B}(X_1))$, the function $u : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is continuous, and P_n converges weakly to P as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $P_n u^{-1}$ also converges weakly to Pu^{-1} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

The lemma is Theorem 5.1 from [1].

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ is a continuous operator. Then*

$$P_{T,F}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)),$$

converges weakly to $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. The definitions of P_T and $P_{T,F}$ imply that $P_{T,F} = P_T F^{-1}$. Therefore, the continuity of F and Lemmas 1 and 2 prove the lemma. \square

Let $V > 0$, and, for $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D_V, D))$,

$$P_{T,V}(A) = \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha) \in A \},$$

$$P_{\underline{\zeta},V}(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \underline{\zeta}(s, \alpha, \omega) \in A).$$

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and $F : H^2(D_V, D) \rightarrow H(D_V)$ is a continuous operator. Then*

$$P_{T,F,V}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \{ \tau \in [0, T] : F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) \in A \}, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D_V)),$$

converges weakly to $P_{\underline{\zeta},V}F^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Clearly, the function $u_V : H^2(D) \rightarrow H^2(D_V, D)$ given by the formula

$$u_V(g_1(s), g_2(s)) = \left(g_1(s) \Big|_{s \in D_V}, g_2(s) \right), \quad g_1, g_2 \in H(D),$$

is continuous, and, $P_{T,V} = P_T u_V^{-1}$. Therefore, Lemmas 1 and 2 imply that $P_{T,V}$ converges weakly to $P_{\underline{\zeta},V}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Since $P_{T,F,V} = P_{T,V}F^{-1}$, we have that $P_{T,F,V}$ converges weakly to $P_{\underline{\zeta},V}F^{-1}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Now we consider the supports of the limit measures $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$, $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}$, $P_{\underline{\zeta},V}$ and $P_{\underline{\zeta},V}F^{-1}$.

LEMMA 5. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Then the support of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$ is the set $S \times H(D)$.*

PROOF. Denote by m_{1H} and m_{2H} the probability Haar measures on $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1))$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{B}(\Omega_2))$, respectively. Then we have that m_H is the product of m_{1H} and m_{2H} , i.e., if $A = A_1 \times A_2$, where $A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_1)$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_2)$, then

$$m_H(A) = m_{1H}(A_1)m_{2H}(A_2). \quad (2)$$

The space $H^2(D)$ is separable, therefore, $\mathcal{B}(H^2(D)) = \mathcal{B}(H(D)) \times \mathcal{B}(H(D))$. Thus, it suffices to consider the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}}$ on the sets $A = A_1 \times A_2$, $A_1, A_2 \in H(D)$.

It is known [20] that the support of the measure

$$m_{1H}(\omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : \zeta(s, \omega_1) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)) \quad (3)$$

is the set S . The linear independence of $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ implies that of the set $L(\alpha) = \{\log(m+\alpha) : m \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Therefore, the case $r = 1$ of Theorem 11 from [6] gives that the support of the measure

$$m_{2H}(\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : \zeta(s, \alpha, \omega_2) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H(D)), \quad (4)$$

is the set $H(D)$. Since

$$P_{\zeta}(A) = m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta(s, \alpha, \omega) \in A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2(D)),$$

in view of (2), we have that, for $A = A_1 \times A_2$,

$$P_{\zeta}(A) = m_{1H}(\omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : \zeta(s, \omega_1) \in A_1) m_{2H}(\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : \zeta(s, \alpha, \omega_2) \in A_2).$$

Therefore, the lemma follows from remarks on supports of the measures (3) and (4), and minimality property of a support. \square

LEMMA 6. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ is a continuous operator such that, for every open set $G \subset H(D)$, the set $(F^{-1}G) \cap (S \times H(D))$ is non-empty. Then the support of the measure $P_{\zeta}F^{-1}$ is the whole of $H(D)$.*

PROOF. We apply standard arguments. Let $g \in H(D)$ be an arbitrary element, and G be its any open neighborhood. Since the operator F is continuous, the set $F^{-1}G$ is open, too. Therefore, by the hypothesis of the lemma, $F^{-1}G$ is an open neighborhood of a certain element of the set $S \times H(D)$. Hence, by Lemma 5, $P_{\zeta}(F^{-1}G) > 0$. Therefore,

$$P_{\zeta}F^{-1}(G) = P_{\zeta}(F^{-1}G) > 0.$$

Since g and G are arbitrary, this proves the lemma. \square

In what follows, the Mergelyan theorem on the approximation of analytic functions by polynomials will be exceptionally useful [17].

LEMMA 7. *Suppose that $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a compact subset with connected complement, and $f(s)$ is a continuous function on K which is analytic in the interior of K . Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial $p(s)$ such that*

$$\sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - p(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 8. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and $V > 0$. Then the support of $P_{\zeta, V}$ is the set $S_V \times H(D)$.*

PROOF. Let g be an arbitrary element of $S_V \times H(D)$, and G be its open neighborhood. The function u_V defined in the proof of Lemma 4 is continuous. Therefore, by the definition of u_V , the set $u_V^{-1}G$ is open and non-empty. Really, it is well known, see, for example, [8], that the approximation in the space $H(D)$ coincides with the uniform approximation on compact sets with connected complements. Therefore, by Lemma 7, there exists a polynomial $p(s)$ such that $p(s) \in G$. Since the polynomial $p(s)$ is an entire function, $p(s)$ also belongs to $u_V^{-1}G$. Thus, the set $u_V^{-1}G$ is non-empty, and is an open neighborhood of an element from $S \times H(D)$. Therefore, by Lemma 5, $P_{\zeta}(u_V^{-1}G) > 0$. Hence, $P_{\zeta, V}(G) = P_{\zeta}u_V^{-1}(G) = P_{\zeta}(u_V^{-1}G) > 0$. Clearly, if $(g_1, g_2) \in S \times H(D)$, then also $(g_1, g_2) \in S_V \times H(D)$. Therefore,

$$m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta(s, \alpha, \omega) \in S_V \times H(D)) \geq m_H(\omega \in \Omega : \zeta(s, \alpha, \omega) \in S \times H(D)) = 1.$$

Hence,

$$P_{\zeta, V}(S_V \times H(D)) = 1.$$

\square

LEMMA 9. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Let $F : H^2(D_V, D) \rightarrow H(D_V)$ be a continuous operator such that, for each polynomial $p = p(s)$, the set $(F^{-1}\{p\}) \cap (S_V \times H(D))$ is non-empty. Then the support of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}, V} F^{-1}$ is the whole of $H(D_V)$.*

PROOF. Let g be an arbitrary element of $H(D_V)$, and G be its arbitrary open neighbourhood. Then, by Lemma 7, there exists a polynomial $p(s) \in G$. Therefore, the hypotheses of the lemma imply that the set $F^{-1}G$ is open and contains an element of the set $S_V \times H(D)$. Thus, in virtue of Lemma 8, $P_{\underline{\zeta}, V}(F^{-1}G) > 0$. From this, it follows that

$$P_{\underline{\zeta}, V} F^{-1}(G) = P_{\underline{\zeta}, V}(F^{-1}G) > 0,$$

and the lemma is proved because g and G are arbitrary. \square

LEMMA 10. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and the operator $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 6. Then the support of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$ contains the closure of the set $H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$.*

PROOF. Since $F(S \times H(D)) \supset H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$, for each element $g \in H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$, there exists an element $(g_1, g_2) \in S \times H(D)$ such that $F(g_1, g_2) = g$. If G is an arbitrary open neighborhood of g , then we have that the open set $F^{-1}G$ is an open neighborhood of a certain element of $S \times H(D)$. Therefore, in view of Lemma 5, $P_{\underline{\zeta}}(F^{-1}G) > 0$. Hence,

$$P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}(G) = P_{\underline{\zeta}}(F^{-1}G) > 0.$$

This shows that the element g lies in the support of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$. Since g is an arbitrary element of $H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$, we have that the support of $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$ contains the set $H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$. However, the support is a closed set, therefore, it contains the closure of $H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$. \square

LEMMA 11. *Suppose that the set $L(\alpha, \mathbb{P})$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} , and $F : H^2(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ is a continuous operator. Then the support of $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$ is the closure of $F(S \times H(D))$.*

PROOF. Let g be an arbitrary element of $F(S \times H(D))$, and G is its any neighborhood. Then, by Lemma 5, $P_{\underline{\zeta}}(F^{-1}G) > 0$. Hence, $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}(G) > 0$. Moreover, by Lemma 5 again,

$$P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}(F(S \times H(D))) = P_{\underline{\zeta}}(S \times H(D)) = 1.$$

Therefore, the support of $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$ is the closure of $F(S \times H(D))$. \square

3. Proof of universality theorems

We will apply the equivalent of the weak convergence of probability measures in terms of continuity sets. We remind that $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a continuity set of the probability measure P on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ if $P(\partial A) = 0$, where ∂A is the boundary of A .

LEMMA 12. *Let P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and P be probability measures on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$. Then P_n , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, converges weakly to P if and only if, for every continuity set A of P ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(A) = P(A).$$

A proof of the lemma can be found in [1], Theorem 2.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Put

$$G_\varepsilon = \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then G_ε is an open set in $H^2(D)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial G_\varepsilon = & \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| = \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| = \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| = \varepsilon, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| = \varepsilon \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 \neq \varepsilon_2$, then $\partial G_{\varepsilon_1} \cap \partial G_{\varepsilon_2} = \emptyset$. Hence, we have that $P_\zeta(\partial G_\varepsilon) > 0$ for at most a countable set of values of $\varepsilon > 0$. This means that the set G_ε is a continuity set of P_ζ for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, by Lemmas 1 and 12,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \zeta(s + i\tau) \in G_\varepsilon \right\} = P_\zeta(G_\varepsilon),$$

or, by the definition of G_ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K_1} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon, \right. \\ \left. \sup_{s \in K_2} |\zeta(s + i\tau) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} = P_\zeta(G_\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 7, there exist polynomials $p_1(s)$ and $p_2(s)$ such that

$$\sup_{s \in K_1} |f_1(s) - e^{p_1(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\sup_{s \in K_2} |f_2(s) - p_2(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (7)$$

In view of Lemma 5, $\{e^{p_1(s)}, p_2(s)\}$ is an element of the support of the measure P_ζ . Therefore, putting

$$\hat{G}_\varepsilon = \left\{ (g_1, g_2) \in H^2(D) : \sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - e^{p_1(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - p_2(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\},$$

we obtain that $P_\zeta(\hat{G}_\varepsilon) > 0$. Inequalities (6) and (7) show, that for $(g_1, g_2) \in \hat{G}_\varepsilon$,

$$\sup_{s \in K_1} |g_1(s) - f_1(s)| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\sup_{s \in K_2} |g_2(s) - f_2(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have that $\hat{G}_\varepsilon \subset G_\varepsilon$. Hence, $P_\zeta(G_\varepsilon) \geq P_\zeta(\hat{G}_\varepsilon) > 0$. This together with (5) proves the theorem.

□

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Define the set

$$G_{1,\varepsilon} = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then we have that $G_{1,\varepsilon}$ is a continuity set of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$ for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, in view of Lemmas 3 and 12,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) \in G_{1,\varepsilon} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K} |F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau), \underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}(G_{1,\varepsilon}) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 7, there exists a polynomial $p(s)$ such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - p(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (9)$$

Define

$$\hat{G}_{1,\varepsilon} = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

The polynomial $p(s)$, by Lemma 6, is an element of the support of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$. Hence, $P_{\underline{\zeta}}(\hat{G}_{1,\varepsilon}) > 0$. Obviously, for $g \in \hat{G}_{1,\varepsilon}$, by (9),

$$\sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $\hat{G}_{1,\varepsilon} \subset G_{1,\varepsilon}$, $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}(G_{1,\varepsilon}) \geq P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}(\hat{G}_{1,\varepsilon}) > 0$, and the theorem follows from (8). □

PROOF OF THEOREM 5. We follow the proof of Theorem 4, and use Lemma 4 in place of Lemma 3, and Lemma 9 in place of Lemma 6. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 6. The case $r = 1$. By Lemma 7, there exists a polynomial $p(s)$ such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - p(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (10)$$

By hypotheses of the theorem, $f(s) \neq a_1$ on K . Therefore, in view of (10), $p(s) \neq a_1$ on K as well if ε is small enough. Thus, we can define a continuous branch of $\log(p(s) - a_1)$ which will be an analytic function in the interior of K . Using Lemma 7 once more, we find a polynomial $p_1(s)$ such that

$$\sup_{s \in K} |p(s) - a_1 - e^{p_1(s)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \quad (11)$$

Now we put $f_1(s) = e^{p_1(s)} + a_1$. Then $f_1(s) \in H(D)$ and $f_1(s) \neq a_1$. Therefore, by Lemma 10, $f_1(s)$ is an element of the support of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}} F^{-1}$. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon} = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f_1(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}$ is an open neighborhood of $f_1(s)$, thus, $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}) > 0$. Now consider the set

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\varepsilon} = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Similarly as in the proof of the above theorems, we observe that $\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}$ is a continuity set of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}$ for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, taking into account Lemmas 3 and 12, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\varepsilon} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K} |F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} = P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Clearly, by (10) and (11),

$$\sup_{s \in K} |f(s) - f_1(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, if $g \in \mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}$, then $g \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\varepsilon}$, i.e., $\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon} \subset \hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\varepsilon}$. Since $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}) > 0$, we have that $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{1,\varepsilon}) > 0$. This inequality together with (12) proves the theorem in the case $r = 1$.

Now let $r \geq 2$. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_{2,\varepsilon} = \left\{ g \in H(D) : \sup_{s \in K} |g(s) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Since $f(s) \in H_{a_1, \dots, a_r}(D)$, we have by Lemma 10, that $f(s)$ is an element of the support of $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}_{2,\varepsilon}$ is an open neighborhood of $f(s)$. Therefore,

$$P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{2,\varepsilon}) > 0. \quad (13)$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{G}_{2,\varepsilon}$ is a continuity set of the measure $P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}$ for all but at most countably many $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, in view of Lemmas 3 and 12, and (12)

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : \sup_{s \in K} |F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) - f(s)| < \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ \tau \in [0; T] : F(\underline{\zeta}(s + i\tau, \alpha)) \in \mathcal{G}_{2,\varepsilon} \right\} = P_{\underline{\zeta}}F^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{2,\varepsilon}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

PROOF OF THEOREM 7. We repeat the proof of the case $r \geq 2$ of Theorem 6, and, in place of Lemma 10, we apply Lemma 11.

□

4. Conclusions

It was well known that the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ and Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s, \alpha)$ with transcendental or rational parameter α are universal in the Voronin sense, i.e., their shifts $\zeta(s + i\tau)$ and $\zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, approximate functions from wide classes. H. Mishou obtained a joint universality theorem for $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(s, \alpha)$. He proved that the set of shifts $(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha))$ with transcendental α approximating a pair of given analytic functions has a positive lower density.

In the paper, it is observed that the set of the above shifts has a positive density for all but at most countably many values of $\varepsilon > 0$, where ε is accuracy of approximation.

Also, it is obtained that composite functions $F(\zeta(s), \zeta(s, \alpha))$ for some classes of operators F in the space of analytic functions $H(D)$ has a similar approximation property, namely, the set of shifts $F(\zeta(s + i\tau), \zeta(s + i\tau, \alpha))$ approximating a given analytic function with accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$ has a positive density for all but at most countably many values of ε .

REFERENCES

1. Billingsley P., 1968, "Convergence of Probability Measures", *New York: Willey*.
2. Javtokas A., Laurinćikas A., 2006, "Universality of the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function", *Integr. Transf. Spec. Funct.* Vol. 17. P. 711–722.
3. Kačinskaitė R., Laurinćikas A., 2011, "The joint distribution of periodic zeta-functions", *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* Vol. 18. P. 257–279.
4. Laurinćikas A., 2007, "Voronin-type theorem for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions", *Sb. Math.* Vol. 198, No. 1-2. P. 231–242.
5. Laurinćikas A., 2008, "Joint universality for periodic Hurwitz zeta-functions", *Izv. Math.* Vol. 72, No. 1-2. P. 741–760.
6. Laurinćikas A., 2008, "The joint universality of Hurwitz zeta-functions", *Šiauliai Math. Semin.* Vol. 3(11). P. 169–187.
7. Laurinćikas A., 2010, "Joint universality of zeta-functions with periodic coefficients", *Izv. Math.* Vol. 74. P. 515–539.
8. Laurinćikas A., 2012, "Universality of composite functions", in: *Functions in Number Theory and Their Probabilistic Aspects*, K. Matsumoto et al (Eds), RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu. Vol. B34. P. 191–204.
9. Laurinćikas A., 2012, "On joint universality of the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-functions", *J. Number Theory*. Vol. 132. P. 2842–2853.
10. Laurinćikas A., 2016, "Universality theorems for zeta-functions with periodic coefficients", *Sib. Math. J.* Vol. 57, No. 2. P. 330–339.
11. Laurinćikas A., Garunkštis R., 2002, "The Lerch Zeta-Function", *Dordrecht: Kluwer*.
12. Laurinćikas A., Matsumoto K., 2001, "The universality of zeta-functions attached to certain cusp forms", *Acta Arith.* Vol. 98. P. 345–359.
13. Laurinćikas A., Meška L., 2014, "Improvement of the universality inequality", *Math. Notes*. Vol. 96, No. 5-6. P. 971–976.
14. Laurinćikas A., Meška L., 2016, "On the modification of the universality of the Hurwitz zeta-function", *Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control*. Vol. 21, No. 4. P. 564–576.
15. Laurinćikas A., Šiaučiušas D., 2006, "Remarks on the universality of the periodic zeta-function", *Math. Notes*. Vol. 80, No. 3-4. P. 532–538.

16. Matsumoto K., 2015, "A survey on the theory of universality for zeta and L -functions", in: *Series on Number Theory and Its Applications. Number Theory: Plowing and Starring Through High Wave Forms*, Proc. of the 7th China-Japan Seminar, Fukuoka, Japan, 2013, M. Kaneko et al (Eds). Vol. 11. P. 95–144.
17. Mergelyan S.N., 1952, "Uniform approximation to functions of a complex variable", *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* Vol. 7. P. 31–122. (In Russian).
18. Meška L., 2014, "A modification of the universality inequality", *Šiauliai Math. Semin.* Vol. 9(17). P. 71–81.
19. Mishou H., 2007, "The joint value distribution of the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-functions", *Lith. Math. J.* Vol. 47. P. 32–47.
20. Steuding J., 2007, "Value-Distribution of L -functions", Lecture Notes in Math. 1877, *Berlin: Springer*.
21. Voronin S.M., 1975, "A theorem on the "universality" of the Riemann zeta-function", *Math. USSR Izv.* Vol. 9. P. 443–453.

СПИСОК ЦИТИРОВАННОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРЫ

1. Billingsley P. Convergence of Probability Measures. New York: Willey, 1968.
2. Javtokas A., Laurinčikas A. Universality of the periodic Hurwitz zeta-function // Integr. Transf. Spec. Funct. 2006. Vol. 17. P. 711–722.
3. Kačinskaitė R., Laurinčikas A. The joint distribution of periodic zeta-functions // Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 2011. Vol. 18. P. 257–279.
4. Лауринчикас А.П. Аналог теоремы Воронина для периодических дзета-функций Гурвица // Матем. сб. 2007. Т. 198, №. 2. С. 91–102.
5. Лауринчикас А. Совместная универсальность периодических дзета-функций Гурвица // Изв. РАН. Сер. матем. 2008. Т. 72, №. 4. С. 121–140.
6. Laurinčikas A. The joint universality of Hurwitz zeta-functions // Šiauliai Math. Semin. 2008. Vol. 3(11). P. 169–187.
7. Лауринчикас А. Совместная универсальность дзета- функций с периодическими коэффициентами // Изв. РАН. Сер. матем. 2010. Т. 74, №. 3. С. 79–102.
8. Laurinčikas A. Universality of composite functions // Functions in Number Theory and Their Probabilistic Aspects, K. Matsumoto et al (Eds), RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu. 2012. Vol. B34. P. 191–204.
9. Laurinčikas A. On joint universality of the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-functions // J. Number Theory. 2012. Vol. 132. P. 2842–2853.
10. Лауринчикас А. Расширение универсальности дзета функций с периодическими коэффициентами // Сиб. матем. ж. 2016. Т. 57, №. 2. С. 420–431.
11. Laurinčikas A., Garunkštis R. The Lerch Zeta-Function. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 2002.
12. Laurinčikas A., Matsumoto K. The universality of zeta-functions attached to certain cusp forms // Acta Arith. 2001. Vol. 98. P. 345–359.

13. Лауринчикас А., Мешка Л. Уточнение неравенства универсальности // Матем. заметки. 2014. Т. 96, №. 6. С. 905–910.
14. Laurinčikas A., Meška L. On the modification of the universality of the Hurwitz zeta-function // Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control. 2016. Vol. 21, No. 4. P. 564–576.
15. Лауринчикас А.П., Шяучюнас Д. Замечания об универсальности периодической дзета-функции // Матем. заметки. 2006. Т. 80, №. 4. С. 561–568.
16. Matsumoto K. A survey on the theory of universality for zeta and L -functions // in: Series on Number Theory and Its Applications. Number Theory: Plowing and Starring Through High Wave Forms, Proc. of the 7th China-Japan Seminar, Fukuoka, Japan, 2013. M. Kaneko ed al (Eds). 2015. Vol. 11. P. 95–144.
17. Мергелян С.Н. Равномерные приближения функций комплексного переменного // УМН. 1952. Т. 7, №. 2. С. 31–122
18. Meška L. A modification of the universality inequality // Šiauliai Math. Semin. 2014. Vol. 9(17). P. 71–81.
19. Mishou H. The joint value distribution of the Riemann zeta-function and Hurwitz zeta-functions // Lith. Math. J. 2007. Vol. 47. P. 32–47.
20. Steuding J. Value-Distribution of L -functions, Lecture Notes in Math. 1877. Berlin: Springer, 2007.
21. Воронин С. М. Теорема об “универсальности” дзета-функции Римана // Изв. АН СССР. Сер. матем. 1975. Т. 39. С. 475–486.

Vilnius University.

Получено 27.06.2016 г.

Принято в печать 12.09.2016 г.